IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.17309 of 2008
SHAYAM RAVIDAS
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 13.5.2008 This is an application for grant of regular bail in a case of rape. The petitioner is said to have committed rape upon the informant Pramila Kumari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no offence as alleged has been committed and the petitioner has falsely been implicated on account of election. He submits that the trial is proceeding. Two witnesses have been examined. They did not support the case and on the contrary they have supported the false implication of the petitioner on account of election. He submits that apart from two witnesses the, Investigating Officer and the Doctor have also been examined and the doctor has also found no such injury. He submits that the informant has not been examined. He, therefore, prays for grant of bail.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Learned counsel for the State submits that the trial is proceeding and the informant, who is victim, has not been examined. So, he prays for rejection of the bail petition.

Considering the submissions made together with the allegation, the prayer for bail is rejected with the direction to the trial court to conclude the trial within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order. In case, the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

Md.S. **(Anwar Ahmad)**